IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION.

Writ petition No.162 of 2006.

Mrs. Prabha Shyam Nair **..Petitioner.**

Versus.

M/s. Bennett, Coleman & Co. Ltd. & Anr. .. **Respondents.**

Mr. M. D. Nagle for petitioner.
Mr. S. Talsania Sr. Counsel alongwith Avinash Jalistage & Ms. K. Khan i/b Kanga & Co. for respondents.

CORAM: V.C. DAGA J.
DATED: 30.01.2006.

P.C.:-

. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

. No case is made out to interfere with the impugned order. The view taken by the court below is a reasonable and possible view. This Court not being court of appeal, is not expected to substitute the impugned order with that of other possible view unless the view taken is a perverse view.

. The learned counsel for the respondents, during the course of his submissions, submitted that the petitioner is not the only lady journalist working in the night shift; but there are also other lady journalists working in the same shift. He further submits that petitioner would be provided transport facility in the form of a bus or a motor

car so as to reach her to her residence. He further assures to the Court that the respondents shall make sufficient arrangement for the vigilance and safety of the petitioner. The statements made are taken on record.

. In my view, the above assurance given by the respondents, at this stage, takes adequate and sufficient care of the safety of the petitioner. However, considering issue involved in the complaint the Industrial Court is directed to expedite the trial of the Complaint and decide the same as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within one year from the date receipt of this order.

. Petition disposed of in terms of this order.

. No order as to costs.

V.C.DAGA.J.